DIR-2019-5388-DB-1A F-1

## **FINDINGS**

## DENSITY BONUS/AFFORDABLE HOUSING INCENTIVES COMPLIANCE FINDINGS

1. Pursuant to Section 12.22-A,25(c) of the LAMC, the Director shall approve a density bonus and requested incentive(s) unless the director finds that:

a. The incentives are <u>not required</u> to provide for affordable housing costs as defined in California Health and Safety Code Section 50052.5 or Section 50053 for rents for the affordable units.

The record does not contain substantial evidence that would allow the Director to make a finding that the requested incentives are not necessary to provide for affordable housing costs per State Law. The California Health & Safety Code Sections 50052.5 and 50053 define formulas for calculating affordable housing costs for very low, low, and moderate income households. Section 50052.5 addresses owner-occupied housing and Section 50053 addresses rental households. Affordable housing costs are a calculation of residential rent or ownership pricing not to exceed 25 percent gross income based on area median income thresholds dependent on affordability levels.

The list of on-menu incentives in 12.22-A,25 were pre-evaluated at the time the Density Bonus Ordinance was adopted to include types of relief that minimize restrictions on the size of the project. As such, the Director will always arrive at the conclusion that the density bonus on-menu incentives are required to provide for affordable housing costs because the incentives by their nature increase the scale of the project.

Height. The subject site is zoned R3-1 which has a maximum height limit of 45 feet. The height incentive permits a percentage increase in the height requirement in feet equal to the percentage of Density Bonus for which the Housing Development Project is eligible, but not to exceed 11 feet. In this case, the building height may be increased to a maximum of 56 feet. The requested incentive allows the developer to increase the maximum permitted height so that the two (2) restricted affordable units can be constructed and the overall space dedicated to residential uses is increased. This incentive supports the applicant's decision to set aside two (2) dwelling units for Very Low Income Households for 55 years.

b. The Incentive will have a specific adverse impact upon public health and safety or the physical environment, or on any real property that is listed in the California Register of Historical Resources and for which there are no feasible method to satisfactorily mitigate or avoid the specific adverse Impact without rendering the development unaffordable to Very Low, Low and Moderate Income households. Inconsistency with the zoning ordinance or the general plan land use designation shall not constitute a specific, adverse impact upon the public health or safety.

Analysis of the proposed project determined that it qualifies for a Categorical Exemption from environmental review pursuant to Article 19, Class 32 of the CEQA Guidelines. There is no evidence that the proposed incentive will have a specific adverse impact upon public health and safety or the physical environment, or any real property that is

DIR-2019-5388-DB-1A F-2

listed in the California Register of Historical Resources. A "specific adverse impact" is defined as "a significant, quantifiable, direct and unavoidable impact, based on objective, identified written public health or safety standards, policies, or conditions as they existed on the date the application was deemed complete" (LAMC Section 12.22-A,25(b)). The project does not involve a contributing structure in a designated Historic Preservation Overlay Zone or on the City of Los Angeles list of Historical-Cultural Monuments. According to ZIMAS, the project is not located on a substandard street in a Hillside area or a Very High Fire Hazard Severity Zone. Therefore, there is no substantial evidence that the proposed project, and thus the requested incentive, will have a specific adverse impact on the physical environment, on public health and safety or the physical environment, or on any Historical Resource.

## ADDITIONAL MANDATORY FINDINGS

2. The National Flood Insurance Program rate maps, which are a part of the Flood Hazard Management Specific Plan adopted by the City Council by Ordinance No. 172,081, have been reviewed and it has been determined that this Project is not located in a flood zone.